UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/518,699	03/03/2000	Martin S Berger	B-66383	7109
	7590 11/28/200 YNNE SEWELL LLP	EXAMINER		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY SECTION 3000 THANKSGIVING TOWER			RUDY, ANDREW J	
1601 ELM ST			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DALLAS, TX 75201-4761			3687	
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/28/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments	09/518,699	BERGER, MARTIN S			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Andrew Joseph Rudy	3687			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply to dod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tute, cause the application to become ABAND	TION. De timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matters,	•			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 56,57,60,61 and 65-69 is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) 66-69 is/are withdens 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 56,57,60,61 and 65 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct T1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. rection is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Sumn Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 3687

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 56, 57, 60, 61 and 65-69 are pending. Applicant cancelled claims 1-55, 58, 59 and 62-64. The previous rejection is withdrawn pursuant to Applicant's July 31, 2008 Amendment.

Election/Restrictions

2. Newly submitted claims 66-69 directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: The originally elected independent claim 65 requires no customer having a propriety interest in the innovation as recited from claim 66, nor confidential or non-confidential information as recited from claim 69

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 666-69 withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 56, 57, 60, 61 and 65 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 3687

Claim 65, line 11, "the consumer desirability" lacks antecedent basis and is not clear what the meets and bounds of such is.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. Claims 56, 57, 60, 61 and 65, as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tota et al., US 7,308,413.

Tota discloses, e.g. Figs.1-25 and associated text, an interactive domain site where a plurality of computers, e.g. Fig. 3, may be used with a survey database, e.g. 300, used to determine distinctive features of intellectual property (IP), e.g. media content. As understood, Tota discloses consumer desirability. Tota does not disclose consolidating responses. However, consolidating survey responses has been common knowledge in the survey response art. To have provide such for Tota would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. Applicant's July 31, 2008 REMARKS have been reviewed, but are moot in light of the new grounds of rejection.

6. Further pertinent references of interest are noted on the attached PTO-892.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 3687

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Joseph Rudy whose telephone number is 571-272-6789. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew S. Gart can be reached on 571-272-3955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3687

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Andrew Joseph Rudy/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3687